

Some Functorial Properties of Nilpotent Multipliers

Behrooz Mashayekhy and Mahboobeh Alizadeh Sanati

Department of Mathematics,

Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures,

Ferdowsi University of Mashhad,

P.O.Box 1159-91775, Mashhad, Iran.

E-mail: mashaf@math.um.ac.ir sanati@math.um.ac.ir

Abstract

In this paper, we are going to look at the c -nilpotent multiplier of a group G , $\mathcal{N}_c M(G)$, as a functor from the category of all groups, $Group$, to the category of all abelian groups, Ab , and focusing on some functional properties of it. In fact, by using some results of the first author and others and finding an explicit formula for the c -nilpotent multiplier of a finitely generated abelian group, we try to concentrate on the commutativity of the above functor with the two famous functors Ext and Tor .

A.M.S. Classification 2000: 20E10, 20K40.

Key words and phrases: Schur multiplier, Nilpotent multiplier, Functor, Ext , Tor .

1. Introduction

Let $G \cong F/R$ be a group, presented as a quotient group of a free group F by a normal subgroup R . Then the *Baer-invariant* of G , after R. Baer [1], with respect to the variety \mathcal{V} , denoted by $\mathcal{V}M(G)$, is defined to be

$$\mathcal{V}M(G) = \frac{R \cap V(F)}{[RV^*F]},$$

where $V(F)$ is the verbal subgroup of F with respect to \mathcal{V} and

$$[RV^*F] = \langle v(f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}, f_i r, f_{i+1}, \dots, f_n) v(f_1, \dots, f_i, \dots, f_n)^{-1} \mid r \in R, \quad$$

$$1 \leq i \leq n, v \in V, f_i \in F, n \in \mathbf{N} \rangle.$$

It can be proved that the Baer-invariant of a group G is independent of the choice of the presentation of G and it is always an abelian group (See [8]).

In particular, if \mathcal{V} is the variety of abelian groups, \mathcal{A} , then the Baer-invariant of G will be $(R \cap F')/[R, F]$, which, following Hopf [6], is isomorphic to the second

cohomology group of G , $H_2(G, \mathbf{C}^*)$, in finite case, and also is isomorphic to the well-known notion the *Schur multiplier* of G , denoted by $M(G)$. The multiplier $M(G)$ arose in Schur's work [15] of 1904 on projective representations of a group, and has subsequently found a variety of other applications. The survey article of Wiegold [19] and the books by Beyl and Tappe [2] and Karpilovsky [7] form a fairly comprehensive account of $M(G)$.

If \mathcal{V} is the variety of nilpotent groups of class at most $c \geq 1$, \mathcal{N}_c , then the Baer-invariant of the group G will be

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(G) = \frac{R \cap \gamma_{c+1}(F)}{[R, {}_c F]} ,$$

where $\gamma_{c+1}(F)$ is the $(c+1)$ st term of the lower central series of F and $[R, {}_1 F] = [R, F]$, $[R, {}_c F] = [[R, {}_{c-1} F], F]$, inductively. The above notion is also called the c -nilpotent multiplier of G and denoted by $M^{(c)}(G)$ (see [3]).

The following theorem permit us to look at the notion of the Baer-invariant as a functor.

Theorem 1.1.

Let \mathcal{V} be an arbitrary variety of groups. Then, using the notion of the Baer-invariant, we can consider the following covariant functor from the category of all groups, *Group*, to the category of all abelian groups, *Ab*

$$\mathcal{V}M(-) : \mathcal{G}roup \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}b ,$$

which assigns to any group G the abelian group $\mathcal{V}M(G)$.

Proof. Let G be an arbitrary group. By the properties of the Baer-invariant, $\mathcal{V}M(G)$ is independent of the choice of a presentation of G and it is always abelian. So $\mathcal{V}M(-)$ assigns an abelian group to each group G . Also, if G_1 and G_2 are two arbitrary groups with the following presentations:

$$1 \longrightarrow R_1 \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} G_1 \longrightarrow 1 , \quad 1 \longrightarrow R_2 \longrightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} G_2 \longrightarrow 1 ,$$

and if $\phi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is a homomorphism, then, using the universal property of free groups, there exists a homomorphism $\bar{\phi} : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$. It is easy to see that $\bar{\phi}$ induces a homomorphism

$$\hat{\phi} : \frac{R_1 \cap V(F_1)}{[R_1 V^* F_1]} \longrightarrow \frac{R_2 \cap V(F_2)}{[R_2 V^* F_2]} ,$$

i.e. $\bar{\phi} : \mathcal{V}M(G_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}M(G_2)$ is a homomorphism from the Baer-invariant of G_1 to the Baer-invariant of G_2 . It is a routine verification to see that the above assignment is a functor from *Group* to *Ab* (see also [8]). \square

§2. Elementary Results

Being additive is usually one of the important property that a functor may have. Unfortunately, the c -nilpotent multiplier functor $\mathcal{N}_c M(-)$ is *not* additive even if we restrict ourself to abelian groups. The following theorems can prove this claim.

Theorem 2.1 (I. Schur [14], J. Wiegold [16]).

Let $G = A \times B$ be the direct product of two groups A and B . Then

$$M(G) \cong M(A) \oplus M(B) \oplus (A_{ab} \otimes B_{ab}) .$$

Theorem 2.2 (B. Mashayekhy and M.R.R. Moghaddam [11]).

Let $G \cong \mathbf{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_k}$, be a finite abelian group, where $n_{i+1} \mid n_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $k \geq 2$. Then, for all $c \geq 1$, the c -nilpotent multiplier of G is

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(G) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{n_2}^{(b_2)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_3}^{(b_3-b_2)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_k}^{(b_k-b_{k-1})} ,$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_m^{(n)}$ denotes the direct sum of n copies of the cyclic group \mathbf{Z}_m , and b_i is the number of basic commutators of weight $c+1$ on i letters (see [5]).

One of the interesting corollary of Theorem 2.2 is that the c -nilpotent multiplier functors can preserve every elementary abelian p -group.

Corollary 2.3.

Let $G = \mathbf{Z}_p \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_p$ (k -copies) be an elementary abelian p -group. Then, for all $c \geq 1$, $\mathcal{N}_c M(G)$ is also an elementary abelian p -group.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2 we have

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(G) \cong \mathbf{Z}_p^{(b_2)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_p^{(b_3-b_2)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_p^{(b_k-b_{k-1})} = \mathbf{Z}_p \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_p \quad (b_k - \text{copies}).$$

Hence the result holds. Note that $|G| = p^n$ and $|\mathcal{N}_c M(G)| = p^{b_k}$. \square

In 1952, C. Miller [12] proved that the Schur multiplier of a free product is isomorphic to the direct sum of the Schur multipliers of the free factors. In other words, he proved that the Schur multiplier functor $M(-)$ is *coproduct-preserving*.

Theorem 2.4 (C. Miller [12]).

For any group G_1 and G_2 ,

$$M(G_1 * G_2) \cong M(G_1) \oplus M(G_2) ,$$

where $G_1 * G_2$ is the free product of G_1 and G_2 .

Now, with regards to the above theorem, it seems natural to ask whether the c -nilpotent multiplier functors, $\mathcal{N}_c M(-)$, $c \geq 2$, are coproduct-preserving or not. To answer the question, first we state an important theorem of J. Burns and G. Ellis [3, Proposition 2.13 and its Erratum] which is proved by a homological method.

Theorem 2.5 (J. Burns and G. Ellis [3]).

Let G and H be two arbitrary groups, then there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{N}_2 M(G * H) \cong$$

$$\mathcal{N}_2M(G) \oplus \mathcal{N}_2M(H) \oplus (M(G) \otimes H_{ab}) \oplus (G_{ab} \otimes M(H)) \oplus \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(G_{ab}, H_{ab}) .$$

Now, using the above theorem and properties of tensor product and $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{Z}}$, we can prove that the second nilpotent multiplier functor $\mathcal{N}_2M(-)$, preserves the coproduct of a finite family of cyclic groups of mutually coprime order.

Corollary 2.6.

Let $\{\mathbf{Z}_{n_i} | 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be a family of cyclic groups of mutually coprime order. Then

$$\mathcal{N}_2M\left(\prod_{i=1}^m {}^*\mathbf{Z}_{n_i}\right) \cong \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{N}_2M(\mathbf{Z}_{n_i}) ,$$

where $\prod_{i=1}^m {}^*\mathbf{Z}_{n_i}$ is the free product of \mathbf{Z}_{n_i} 's, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. By using induction on m and the following properties the result holds.

$$\mathcal{N}_2M(\mathbf{Z}_{n_i}) \cong 1, \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_{n_i}, \mathbf{Z}_{n_j}) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{n_i} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{n_j} = 1, \text{ for all } i \neq j. \square$$

Note that the first author has generalized the above corollary to the variety of nilpotent groups of class at most c, \mathcal{N}_c , for all $c \geq 2$ as follows.

Theorem 2.7 (B. Mashayekhy [10]).

Let $\{\mathbf{Z}_{n_i} | 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be a family of cyclic groups of mutually coprime order. Then

$$\mathcal{N}_cM\left(\prod_{i=1}^m {}^*\mathbf{Z}_{n_i}\right) \cong \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{N}_cM(\mathbf{Z}_{n_i}) , \text{ for all } c \geq 1.$$

In the following example, we are going to show that the condition of being mutually coprime order for the family of cyclic groups $\{\mathbf{Z}_{n_i} | 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ is very essential in the above results. In other words, we show that the second nilpotent multiplier functor, $\mathcal{N}_2M(-)$, is not coproduct preserving, in general.

Example.

Let $D_{\infty} = \langle a, b | a^2 = b^2 = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 * \mathbf{Z}_2$ be the infinite dihedral group. Then

$$\mathcal{N}_2M(D_{\infty}) \not\cong \mathcal{N}_2M(\mathbf{Z}_2) \oplus \mathcal{N}_2M(\mathbf{Z}_2) .$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_2M(D_{\infty}) &\cong \mathcal{N}_2M(\mathbf{Z}_2) \oplus \mathcal{N}_2M(\mathbf{Z}_2) \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \otimes M(\mathbf{Z}_2) \oplus M(\mathbf{Z}_2) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_2, \mathbf{Z}_2) \\ &\cong \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_2, \mathbf{Z}_2) \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbf{Z}_2 \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 . \end{aligned}$$

But $\mathcal{N}_2M(\mathbf{Z}_2) \oplus \mathcal{N}_2M(\mathbf{Z}_2) = 1$. Hence the result holds. \square

Note.

In 1980 M.R.R. Moghaddam [12] proved that in general, the Baer-invariant functor commutes with direct limit of a directed system of groups.

We know that every functor can preserve any split exact sequence as a split sequence. This property gives us the following interesting result.

Theorem 2.8.

Let $G = T \times^{\theta} N$ be the semidirect product (splitting extension) of N by T under θ . Then $\mathcal{V}M(T)$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{V}M(G)$, for every variety of groups \mathcal{V} .

Note that K.I. Tahara [15] 1972, and W. Haebich [4] 1977, tried to obtain a result similar to the above theorem for the Schur multiplier of a semidirect product with an emphasis on finding the structure of the complementary factor $M(T)$ of $M(G)$, as much as possible. Also, a generalization of Haebich's result [4] presented by the first author in [9].

Finally, the properties of right and left exactness are some of the most interesting properties that a functor may have. In the following, we show that the c -nilpotent multiplier functors *are not right or left exact*.

Theorem 2.9.

For every $c \geq 1$, the c -nilpotent multiplier functor, $\mathcal{N}_c M(-)$, is not right exact. **Proof.** Let G be a group such that $\mathcal{N}_c M(G) \neq 1$ (note that by Theorem 2.2, we can always find such a group G). Let F be a free group and $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ be an epimorphism (we can always consider a free presentation for a group G). Now by definition of the Baer-invariant we have $\mathcal{N}_c M(F) = 1$ (consider the free presentation $1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1$ for F). Therefore, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{N}_c M(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_c M(G)$ is not onto. \square

Theorem 2.10.

The c -nilpotent multiplier functor, $\mathcal{N}_c M(-)$, is not left exact, in general. **Proof.** Suppose $G = \mathbf{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_4$. Then by Theorem 2.1 we have

$$M(G) \cong M(\mathbf{Z}_4) \oplus M(\mathbf{Z}_4) \oplus (\mathbf{Z}_4 \otimes \mathbf{Z}_4) \cong \mathbf{Z}_4 .$$

By a famous result on the Schur multiplier we know that every finite p -group can be embedded in a finite p -group whose Schur multiplier is elementary abelian p -group (see [7,17]). So there exists an exact sequence $G \xrightarrow{\theta} H \rightarrow 1$, where H is a finite 2-group and $M(H)$ is an elementary abelian 2-group. Hence $M(\theta) : M(G) \rightarrow M(H)$ can not be a monomorphism. \square

3. Main Results

In this section, we will see the behaviour of the functor $\mathcal{N}_c M(-)$ with the functors $Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^n(\mathbf{Z}_m, -)$ and $Tor_n^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, -)$. First, by using notations and similar method of paper [11], we can present an explicit formula for the c -nilpotent multiplier of a finitely generated abelian groups as follows.

Theorem 3.1.

Let $G \cong \mathbf{Z}^{(n)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_k}$, be a finitely generated abelian group, where $n \geq 0$, $n_{i+1} | n_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $k \geq 2$. Then, for all $c \geq 1$, the

c -nilpotent multiplier of G is

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(G) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{(b_n)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_1}^{(b_{n+1}-b_n)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_k}^{(b_{n+k}-b_{n+k-1})} ,$$

where $b_1 = b_0 = 0$

Proof. Clearly $\mathbf{Z} \otimes \mathbf{Z} \cong \mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{Z} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{n_i} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{n_i}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{n_i} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{n_{i+1}} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{n_{i+1}}$. Hence we have

$$\mathbf{Z}^{(t)} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{n_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{n_r} \stackrel{(*)}{\cong} \mathbf{Z}_{n_r} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Z} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{Z} \cong \mathbf{Z}.$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $r \geq 1$. Thus by theorem 2.3 of [11] we have

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(\mathbf{Z}^{(n)}) \cong T(\mathbf{Z}, \dots, \mathbf{Z})_{c+1} \cong \mathbf{Z}^{(b_n)}.$$

We remind that $T(H_1, \dots, H_n)_{c+1}$ is the summation of all the tensor products corresponding to the subgroup generated by all the basic commutators of weight $c+1$ on n letters x_1, \dots, x_n , where $x_i \in H_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Now, by induction hypothesis assume

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(\mathbf{Z}^{(n)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_{k-1}}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{(b_n)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_1}^{(b_{n+1}-b_n)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_{k-1}}^{(b_{n+k-1}-b_{n+k-2})} .$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_c M(\mathbf{Z}^{(n)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_k}) &\cong T(\underbrace{\mathbf{Z}, \dots, \mathbf{Z}}_{n\text{-times}}, \mathbf{Z}_{n_1}, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_{n_k})_{c+1} \\ &\cong T(\underbrace{\mathbf{Z}, \dots, \mathbf{Z}}_{n\text{-times}}, \mathbf{Z}_{n_1}, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_{n_{k-1}})_{c+1} \oplus L , \end{aligned}$$

where L is the summation of all the tensor products of $\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}_{n_1}, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_{n_k}$ corresponding to the subgroup generated by all the basic commutators of weight $c+1$ on $n+k$ letters which involve \mathbf{Z}_{n_k} . Using $(*)$, all those tensor product are isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_{n_k} . So L is the direct summand of $(b_{n+k} - b_{n+k-1})$ -copies of \mathbf{Z}_{n_k} . Hence the result follows by induction. \square

For the rest of the paper we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.2.

For any abelian groups A and B , we have

$$(i) \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}, B) \cong B/mB.$$

Also, $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^n(A, B) = 0$, for all $n \geq 2$.

(ii) If A and B are finite abelian groups, then

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(A, B) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(B, A).$$

(iii) $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}, B) \cong B[m]$, where $B[m] = \{b \in B : mb = 0\}$. Also, $\text{Tor}_n^{\mathbf{Z}}(A, B) = 0$, for all $n \geq 2$, and $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(A, B) \cong \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(B, A)$.

Proof. See [14, Chapters 7, 8]. \square

Lemma 3.3.

Let A and $\{B_k\}_{k \in I}$ be abelian groups. Then for all $n \geq 0$ the following isomorphism hold.

- (i) $Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^n(A, \prod_{k \in I} B_k) \cong \prod_{k \in I} Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^n(A, B_k)$, $Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^n(\prod_{k \in I} B_k, A) \cong \prod_{k \in I} Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^n(B_k, A)$.
- (ii) $Tor_n^{\mathbf{Z}}(A, \prod_{k \in I} B_k) \cong \prod_{k \in I} Tor_n^{\mathbf{Z}}(A, B_k)$, $Tor_n^{\mathbf{Z}}(\prod_{k \in I} B_k, A) \cong \prod_{k \in I} Tor_n^{\mathbf{Z}}(B_k, A)$.

Proof. See [14]. \square

It is obvious that the functor $\mathcal{N}_c M(-)$ commutes with the functors $Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^n(\mathbf{Z}_m, -)$, and $Tor_n^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, -)$ for all $n \geq 2$, by lemma 3.2. Now we are going to pay our attention to the functors $Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_m, -)$, $Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(-, \mathbf{Z}_m)$, and $Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, -)$.

Theorem 3.4.

Let $D \cong \mathbf{Z}^{(n)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_k}$, be a finitely generated abelian group, where $n \geq 0$, $n_{i+1} | n_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Then, for all $c \geq 1$, the following isomorphisms hold.

- (i) $\mathcal{N}_c M(Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_m, D)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_m^{(b_n)} \oplus (\oplus \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{Z}_{(n_i, m)}^{(b_{n+i} - b_{n+i-1})})$.
- (ii) $Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathcal{N}_c M(D)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_m^{(b_n)} \oplus (\oplus \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{Z}_{(n_i, m)}^{(b_{n+i} - b_{n+i-1})})$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{N}_c M(Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(D, \mathbf{Z}_m)) \cong \oplus \sum_{i=2}^k \mathbf{Z}_{(n_i, m)}^{(b_i - b_{i-1})}$.
- (iv) $Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathcal{N}_c M(D), \mathbf{Z}_m) \cong \oplus \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{Z}_{(n_i, m)}^{(b_{n+i} - b_{n+i-1})}$.
- (v) $\mathcal{N}_c M(Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, D)) \cong \oplus \sum_{i=2}^k \mathbf{Z}_{(n_i, m)}^{(b_i - b_{i-1})}$.
- (vi) $Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathcal{N}_c M(D)) \cong \oplus \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{Z}_{(n_i, m)}^{(b_{n+i} - b_{n+i-1})}$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 3.3(i), $Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z} \cong \mathbf{Z}_m$. Now by using Lemmas 3.3(i) and 3.2(i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_m, D) &\cong (Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathbf{Z}))^{(n)} \oplus (\oplus \sum_{i=1}^k Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathbf{Z}_{n_i})) \\ &\cong \mathbf{Z}_m^{(n)} \oplus (\oplus \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{Z}_{n_i}/m\mathbf{Z}_{n_i}). \end{aligned}$$

One can see that for every $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have $\mathbf{Z}_m/n\mathbf{Z}_m \cong \mathbf{Z}_{(n, m)}$. Therefore

$$Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_m, D) \cong \mathbf{Z}_m^{(n)} \oplus (\oplus \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{Z}_{(n_i, m)}).$$

Now, by Theorem 2.2 and by noting that $(m, n_{i+1})|(m, n_i)|m$ we have

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_m, D))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\cong \mathbf{Z}_m^{(b_2-b_1)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_m^{(b_3-b_2)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_m^{(b_n-b_{n-1})} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{(n_1,m)}^{(b_{n+1}-b_n)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{(n_k,m)}^{(b_{n+k}-b_{n+k-1})} \\
&\cong \mathbf{Z}_m^{(b_n)} \oplus (\oplus \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{Z}_{(n_i,m)}^{(b_{n+i}-b_{n+i-1})}).
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) By Theorem 3.1 and Lemmas 3.3(i) and 3.2(i), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathcal{N}_c M(D)) &\cong Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathbf{Z})^{(b_n)} \oplus (\oplus \sum_{i=1}^k (Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathbf{Z}_{n_i}))^{(b_{n+i}-b_{n+i-1})}) \\
&\cong \mathbf{Z}_m^{(b_n)} \oplus (\oplus \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{Z}_{(n_i,m)}^{(b_{n+i}-b_{n+i-1})}).
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) By Lemmas 3.3(ii) and 3.2(ii) we have

$$Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, D) \cong (Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathbf{Z}))^{(n)} \oplus (\oplus \sum_{i=1}^k Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathbf{Z}_{n_i})) \cong \oplus \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{Z}_{n_i}[m].$$

Note that $Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathbf{Z}) \cong 1$ and $\mathbf{Z}_n[m] \cong \mathbf{Z}_{(m,n)}$. So we have $Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, D) \cong \oplus \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{Z}_{(n_i,m)}$. Now by Theorem 2.2 the result holds.

(iv) Again by using Theorem 3.1 and Lemmas 3.3(ii) and 3.2(ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathcal{N}_c M(D)) &\cong (Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathbf{Z}))^{(b_n)} \oplus (\oplus \sum_{i=1}^k Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathbf{Z}_{n_i}^{(b_{n+i}-b_{n+i-1})})) \\
&\cong \oplus \sum_{i=1}^k Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathbf{Z}_{n_i}^{(b_{n+i}-b_{n+i-1})}) \cong \oplus \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{Z}_{(n_i,m)}^{(b_{n+i}-b_{n+i-1})}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

In the following corollary you can find some of main results of the paper.

Corollary 3.5.

Let D be an arbitrary finitely generated abelian group. Then

$$(i) \mathcal{N}_c M(Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_m, D)) \cong Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathcal{N}_c M(D)).$$

(ii) If D is also finite, then

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, D)) \cong Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathcal{N}_c M(D)),$$

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(D, \mathbf{Z}_m)) \cong Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathcal{N}_c M(D), \mathbf{Z}_m).$$

(iii) If D is infinite, then

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, D)) \not\cong Tor_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathcal{N}_c M(D)).$$

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(D, \mathbf{Z}_m)) \not\cong Ext_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathcal{N}_c M(D), \mathbf{Z}_m).$$

This means that the c -nilpotent multiplier functors, $\mathcal{N}_c M(-)$ do not commute with $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, -)$ and $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(-, \mathbf{Z}_m)$, in infinite case.

Proof. (i) It is clear by parts (i), (ii) of the previous theorem.

(ii) By putting $n = 0$ in parts (iii) to (vi) of the previous theorem, the result holds.

(iii) Since D is infinite, so $n \geq 1$. Hence the result holds by the previous theorem parts (iii) to (vi). \square

We know that $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathbf{Z}) \cong 0$ and $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}_m) \cong \mathbf{Z}_m$. So by similar methods of Theorem 3.4 we are going to indicate the behaviour of functor $\mathcal{N}_c M(-)$ with $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^0(\mathbf{Z}_m, -) = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_m, -)$, $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^0(-, \mathbf{Z}_m) = \text{Hom}(-, \mathbf{Z}_m)$, and $\text{Tor}_0^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_m, -) = \mathbf{Z}_m \otimes -$ as the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6.

For any finitely generated abelian group $D \cong \mathbf{Z}^{(n)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_k}$, we have

$$(i) \mathcal{N}_c M(\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_m, D)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{(m, n_2)}^{(b_2)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{(m, n_k)}^{(b_k - b_{k-1})}.$$

$$(ii) \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathcal{N}_c M(D)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{(m, n_1)}^{(b_{n+1} - b_n)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{(m, n_k)}^{(b_{n+k} - b_{n+k-1})}.$$

$$(iii) \text{If } D \text{ is finite, then } \mathcal{N}_c M(\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_m, D)) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathcal{N}_c M(D)).$$

$$\text{If } D \text{ is infinite, then } \mathcal{N}_c M(\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_m, D)) \not\cong \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_m, \mathcal{N}_c M(D)).$$

$$(iv) \mathcal{N}_c M(\text{Hom}(D, \mathbf{Z}_m)) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{N}_c M(D), \mathbf{Z}_m) \cong \mathbf{Z}_m^{(b_n)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{(m, n_1)}^{(b_{n+1} - b_n)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{(m, n_k)}^{(b_{n+k} - b_{n+k-1})}.$$

$$(v) \mathcal{N}_c M(\mathbf{Z}_m \otimes D) \cong \mathbf{Z}_m \otimes \mathcal{N}_c M(D) \cong \mathbf{Z}_m^{(b_n)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{(m, n_1)}^{(b_{n+1} - b_n)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{(m, n_k)}^{(b_{n+k} - b_{n+k-1})}.$$

Now, in the following we are going to show that our conditions in the previous results are essential. In general case $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^i(A, -)$ and $\text{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{Z}}(A, -)$, where A is not cyclic, do not commute with $\mathcal{N}_c M(-)$, for $i = 0, 1$.

Some Examples.

$$(a) \mathcal{N}_c M(\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_n \oplus \mathbf{Z}_n, \mathbf{Z}_n)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_n^{(b_2)} \not\cong 1 \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_n \oplus \mathbf{Z}_n, \mathcal{N}_c M(\mathbf{Z}_n)), \text{ i.e}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(-, A)) \not\cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathcal{N}_c M(-), A).$$

$$(b) \mathcal{N}_c M(\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathbf{Z}_n, \mathbf{Z}_n \oplus \mathbf{Z}_n)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_n^{(b_2)} \not\cong 1 \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(\mathcal{N}_c M(\mathbf{Z}_n), \mathbf{Z}_n \oplus \mathbf{Z}_n), \text{ i.e}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(A, -)) \not\cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(A, \mathcal{N}_c M(-)).$$

$$(c) \mathcal{N}_c M(\text{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_n \oplus \mathbf{Z}_n, \mathbf{Z}_n)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_n^{(b_2)} \not\cong 1 \cong \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}_n \oplus \mathbf{Z}_n, \mathcal{N}_c M(\mathbf{Z}_n)), \text{ i.e}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(\text{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(-, A)) \not\cong \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathcal{N}_c M(-), A).$$

$$(d) \mathcal{N}_c M((\mathbf{Z}_n \oplus \mathbf{Z}_n \otimes \mathbf{Z}_n)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_n^{(b_2)} \not\cong (\mathbf{Z}_n \oplus \mathbf{Z}_n \otimes \mathcal{N}_c M(\mathbf{Z}_n)), \text{ i.e}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(A \otimes -) \not\cong (A \otimes \mathcal{N}_c M(-)).$$

$$(e) \mathcal{N}_c M(\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_n \oplus \mathbf{Z}_n, \mathbf{Z}_n)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_n^{(b_2)} \not\cong 1 \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_n \oplus \mathbf{Z}_n, \mathcal{N}_c M(\mathbf{Z}_n)), \text{ i.e.}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(\text{Hom}(A, -)) \not\cong \text{Hom}(A, \mathcal{N}_c M(-)).$$

(f) $\mathcal{N}_c M(Hom(\mathbf{Z}_{14} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2, \mathbf{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_3)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_2^{(b_2)} \not\cong 1 \cong Hom(\mathbf{Z}_{14} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2, \mathbf{Z}_3^{(b_2)}) \cong Hom(\mathbf{Z}_{14} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2, \mathcal{N}_c M(\mathbf{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_3))$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(Hom(A, -)) \not\cong Hom(A, \mathcal{N}_c M(-)).$$

(g) $\mathcal{N}_c M(Hom(\mathbf{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2, \mathbf{Z}_9 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_3)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_3^{(b_2)} \not\cong 1 \cong Hom(\mathbf{Z}_2^{(b_2)}, \mathbf{Z}_9 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_3) \cong Hom(\mathcal{N}_c M(\mathbf{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2), \mathbf{Z}_9 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_3)$, i.e

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(Hom(-, A)) \not\cong Hom(\mathcal{N}_c M(-), A).$$

(h) $M(Hom(D, \mathbf{Z}_m)) \not\cong Hom(M(D), \mathbf{Z}_m)$, and $M(D \otimes \mathbf{Z}_m) \not\cong M(D) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_m$, when D is not abelian; Because one can see that $Hom(S_n, \mathbf{Z}_2) \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$, for $n \geq 2$. Also we know that $M(S_n) \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$, for each $n \geq 4$, see [7, theorem 2.12.3]. Now

$$1 \cong M(Hom(S_n, \mathbf{Z}_2)) \not\cong Hom(M(S_n), \mathbf{Z}_2) \cong \mathbf{Z}_2,$$

Moreover $S_n \otimes \mathbf{Z}_2 \cong S_n / S'_n \otimes \mathbf{Z}_2 \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbf{Z}_2 \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$. Then

$$1 \cong M(S_n \otimes \mathbf{Z}_2) \not\cong M(S_n) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_2 \cong \mathbf{Z}_2.$$

The functor $\mathcal{S} = A \otimes -$, where A is a non-cyclic group *does not commute* with the functor $\mathcal{N}_c M(-)$. Put $A = \mathbf{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_2}$, $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$, where $n_2 | n_1$. Then $A \otimes G \cong \mathbf{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{m_2}$, where $m_i = (n, n_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$. Clearly $m_2 | m_1$, so by Theorem 3.1 we have $\mathcal{N}_c M(A \otimes G) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{m_2}^{(b_2)}$. On the other hand, we have $A \otimes \mathcal{N}_c M(G) \cong A \otimes 1 = 1$. Hence $\mathcal{N}_c M(A \otimes G) \not\cong A \otimes \mathcal{N}_c M(G)$.

We should also point out that the Theorem 3.1 shows that the c -nilpotent multiplier functor, $\mathcal{N}_c M(-)$, *does not preserve* the tensor product, for

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(\mathbf{Z}_m \otimes G_{ab}) \not\cong \mathcal{N}_c M(\mathbf{Z}_m) \otimes \mathcal{N}_c M(G_{ab}) = 1. \quad \square$$

References

- [1] R. Baer, *Representations of groups as quotient groups, I-III* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (1945), 295-419.
- [2] F. R. Beyl and J. Tappe, *Group Extensions, Representations, and the Schur Multiplier*, Lecture Notes in Math. 958, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [3] J. Burns and G. Ellis, *On the nilpotent multipliers of a group*. Math. Z. **226** (1997), 405-28.
- [4] W. Haebich, *The Multiplier of a splitting extension*, Journal of Algebra **44** (1977), 420-433.
- [5] M. Hall, *The Theory of Groups*, Macmillan, New York 1959.

- [6] H. Hopf, *Fundamental gruppe und zweite bettische gruppe*, Comment. Math. Helvetic **14** (1942), 257-309.
- [7] G. Karpilovsky, *The Schur Multiplier*, London Math. Soc. Monographs (N.S.), Vol. 2, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1987.
- [8] C. R. Leedham-Green and S. McKay, *Baer-invariant, isologism, varietal laws and homology*, Acta Math. **137** (1976), 99-150.
- [9] B. Mashayekhy, *The Baer-invariant of a semidirect product*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **8(4)** (1997), 529-535.
- [10] B. Mashayekhy, *On the nilpotent multiplier of a free product*, Bulletin of Iranian Mathematical Society, **28:2** (2002), 49-59.
- [11] B. Mashayekhy and M. R. R. Moghaddam, *Higher Schur multiplicator of a finite abelian group*, Algebra Colloquium **4:3** (1997), 317-322.
- [12] M. R. R. Moghaddam, *The Baer-invariant and the direct limit*, Mh. Math. **90** (1980), 37-43.
- [13] C. Miller, *The second homology group of a group; relation among commutators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1952), 588-95.
- [14] J. Rotman, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Math. **127** (1904), 20-50.
- [15] I. Schur, *Über die darstellung der endlichen gruppen durch gebrochene lineare substitutionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **127** (1904), 20-50.
- [16] I. Schur, *Untersuchungen über die darstellung der endlichen gruppen durch gebrochene lineare substitutionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **132** (1907), 85-137.
- [17] K. I. Tahara, *On the second cohomology groups of semidirect products*, Math. Z., **129** (1972), 365-379.
- [18] J. Wiegold, *The multiplicator of a direct product*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, (2), **22** (1971), 103-105.
- [19] J. Wiegold, *The Schur multiplier: an elementary approach* pp. 137-154 in: Groups-St Andrews (1981), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. vol. 71, Cambridge Univ. Press (1982).